UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,826	12/02/2003	JeanThierry Simonnet	241891US0CONT	2739
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			MAEWALL, SNIGDHA	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	· DELIVERY MODE
	·		09/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/724,826	SIMONNET ET AL.	
	FREDERICK KRASS	1612	
Document Code - AP.PRE	E.DEC		

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed <u>8/17/09</u>.

<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is imprope reason(s):</li> </ol>	r and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed con☐ The request does not include reasons why a☐ A proposed amendment is included with the☐ Other:	a review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to rule the mail date of the last Office communication, if no	n from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance white brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, white	terferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been enthere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period chever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the dupon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 25-43. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-23 and	
3. Allowable application – A conference has be Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	en held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office by applicant at this time.
All participants:	FREDERICK KRASS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  J. M.
(1) FREDERICK KRASS.	(3) Snigdha Maewall.
(2) Julie Burke (Quality Assurance Specialist).	(4)